UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,877	07/18/2003	Chung Ching Lip		1734
62226 7590 01/30/2007 LIP CHUNG CHING UNIT 3A, 8 COX BLVD. MARKHAM TORONTO, ON L3R-4G1		EXAMINER YAN, REN LUO		
				ART UNIT
		CANADA		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/621,877	LIP, CHUNG CHING
Examiner	Art Unit
Ren L. Yan	2854
appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
8 March 2005	
	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
	•
tion	
or election requirement.	
	·
	•
	a Uπice Action or form P10-152.
eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	
	received in this National Stage
list of the certified copies not	received.
4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)
	s)/Mail Date
	Examiner Ren L. Yan EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1

Application/Control Number: 10/621,877

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Upon reconsideration, the prosecution of this application has been reopened. The indication of allowability of claims 1-35 in an Office action dated 11-2-2004 has been withdrawn and a new action follows:

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species as represented by Figs. 1, 53, 59, 62, 90, 94, 114, 120 and 121, respectively. The species are independent or distinct because they are directed to various position encoder systems for a computer that are not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants. Thus, these species are mutually exclusive and distinct. See MPEP 860.05(j).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,877

Art Unit: 2854

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L. Yan whose telephone number is 571-272-2173. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ren L Yan

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan Jan. 23, 2007